

Decision Letter (Access-2021-21674)

From: xiaochun.cheng@gmail.com
 To: eddywiyanto@uknds.ac.id
 CC: xiaochun.cheng@gmail.com, huangcm@nfu.edu.cn, eddywiyanto@uknds.ac.id, chenghc@nfu.edu.cn
 Subject: IEEE Access - Decision on Manuscript ID Access-2021-21674

Body: 09-Jul-2021

Dear Mr. Wijanto,

Your manuscript entitled "Applying a Pix2Pix Generative Adversarial Network to a Four-domain Optical Coherence Tomography System for Artifact Elimination" has been accepted for publication in IEEE [Access](#). The comments of the reviewers who reviewed your manuscript are included at the foot of this letter. We ask that you make changes to your manuscript based on those comments, before uploading final files.

However, NO CHANGES to the author list or the references will be permitted.

Finally, please improve the English grammar and check spelling, as it is only lightly edited before publication.

Once you have updated your article accordingly, please send all final versions of your files through the "Awaiting Final Files" queue in your Author Center on ScholarOne Manuscripts. Once you have completed the submission of your final files, the accepted version of your article will be posted Early Access on IEEE [Xplore](#) within 2-3 business days. Within 7-10 business days you will receive your page proofs of the final version of your manuscript, at which point you can make minor edits as necessary. Once you approve the proofs, the final version will replace the Early Access version on IEEE [Xplore](#).

When submitting final files, you must submit all of the items in the list below. All files intended for publication need to be submitted during this step, even if some files are unchanged from initial submission. If you do not submit all files during this step, it will delay the publication of your article, or result in certain files not being published.

- 1) Manuscript in MS Word or LaTeX with all author biographies and photos included.
- 2) A PDF of the final manuscript in double column, single spaced format named "FINAL_Article.pdf".
- 3) A graphical abstract (GA) which provides a concise, visual summary of the findings of your article. The GA should be a figure or image from the accepted article. The ideal size for a GA is 660 x 295 (JPG format, < 45 KB). If you submitted a video with your article, the video will automatically become the GA and you will need to supply a still image to act as an overlay. For more information on this GA, please visit <https://ieeaccess.elsevier.com/guide-for-authors/post-acceptance-guide/>.
- 4) Video(s) included in peer review (if any).
- 5) A Word file that indicates: a) the file name(s) of the GA and overlay (if applicable); b) a caption for the GA that should not exceed 60 words.
- 6) If the figures/photos are not embedded directly within the final article, please submit them as a separate PDF, Word, .eps, .ps, or .tiff file.

For more information on what to expect after you submit final files, please visit our Post-Acceptance Guide at <https://ieeaccess.elsevier.com/guide-for-authors/post-acceptance-guide/>.

Copyright information / APC instructions

After you submit final files you will automatically be directed to the Electronic Copyright Form. Once the copyright information is completed, within a few business days you will receive an email from Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) to settle your APC balance of \$1,750 USD plus applicable local taxes by check, credit card, or wire transfer. Please note that once you submit final files your article is considered published and you are responsible for covering the cost of the APC. If you need assistance with the site or payment process, please contact CCC Customer Service at IEEEsupport@copyright.com.

Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of IEEE [Access](#), we look forward to your continued contributions to IEEE [Access](#).

Sincerely,

Prof. Xiaochun Cheng
 Associate Editor, IEEE [Access](#)
xiaochun.cheng@gmail.com

Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Recommendation: Accept (minor edits)

Comments:

The authors addressed all my concerns.

Additional Questions:

- 1) Does the paper contribute to the body of knowledge?: Yes
- 2) Is the paper technically sound?: Fair
- 3) Is the subject matter presented in a comprehensive manner?: Yes
- 4) Are the references provided applicable and sufficient?: Yes
- 5) Are there references that are not appropriate for the topic being discussed?: No
- 5a) If yes, then please indicate which references should be removed:;

Reviewer: 2

Recommendation: Accept (minor edits)

Comments:

The modifications on the paper have improved the work. I propose acceptance for the paper.

Additional Questions:

- 1) Does the paper contribute to the body of knowledge?: Yes.
- 2) Is the paper technically sound?: Yes.
- 3) Is the subject matter presented in a comprehensive manner?: Yes.
- 4) Are the references provided applicable and sufficient?: yes.
- 5) Are there references that are not appropriate for the topic being discussed?: No
- 5a) If yes, then please indicate which references should be removed:;

Reviewer: 3

Recommendation: Accept (minor edits)

Comments:

The authors have addressed well the previous comment, however I was a bit disappointed not to see the method applied in real image of biological samples, which will be a lot harder than those used in the current paper. The study, although useful, would have more impact when tested on those image. Thus a bit disclaimer in the title and discussion of the "more theoretical" nature of the manuscript is needed.

Additional Questions:

- 1) Does the paper contribute to the body of knowledge?: Yes
- 2) Is the paper technically sound?: Yes
- 3) Is the subject matter presented in a comprehensive manner?: Yes
- 4) Are the references provided applicable and sufficient?: Yes
- 5) Are there references that are not appropriate for the topic being discussed?: Yes
- 5a) If yes, then please indicate which references should be removed:;

If you have any questions, please contact article.production@ieee.org or your local IEEE office.