



Acceptance Letter

Dear Author(S): Rudolf Lumbantobing & Susanto Salim

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Paper ID: | J-EJM-11010011 |
| Paper Title: | Does the Leverage Ratio Mediate the Effect of Liquidity Ratios, Profitability Ratios, and Activity Ratios on Stock Prices? (Empirical Study of Food and Beverage Sub-Sector Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the Period of 2015-2019) |

This is to enlighten you that above manuscript appraised by the proficient and it is **ACCEPTED** by the Board of Referees (BoR) of 'Institute of Computer Science (IOCS)' for publication in the 'Enrichment : Journal of Management' that will publish at **Volume 11, Issue 2, (2021)** in Regular Issue on **01 May 2021**. It will be available live at <https://enrichment.iocspublisher.org/index.php/enrichment/issue/view/21>.

FINAL PAPER | Ms Word doc. | docx. file

camera ready paper should be prepared as per journal template which is available at <http://enrichment.iocspublisher.org/download/Templateenrichment.doc>

Information For Author(S)- Please read very carefully.

1. Each author (s) profile (min 100 words) along with a photo should be available in the final paper. The final paper should be prepared as per the journal template. The Paper should have a minimum of 03 pages and a maximum of 8 pages.
2. Author (s) can make rectification/updation in the final paper but after the signing the copyright and final paper submission to the journal, any rectification/updation is not possible.
3. Maximum 05 authors can be seated in a paper. In the case of more than 05 authors, the paper (s) to be rejected.
4. If the above three supporting documents (Final Paper, Copyright and Registration) did not submit to the journal by the author in the given date (s), then paper will automatically suspend from publication for particular volume/issue. During the final email, you have to attach Final Paper, Copyright and Proof of Registration in a single email. Final paper should be prepared as per the reviewer (s) comments. In the case of failure, it to be rejected. Please read review report carefully. It is compulsory to write the Paper ID of the paper in place of Subject Area in the email during the final paper submission. Header and footer of the paper template will be edited by journal staff.
5. Final paper should not have more than 20% plagiarism including reference section.
6. Published paper to be available online 30 Maret 2021. Paper cannot withdraw after submitting the copyright to the journal.

Finally, the team of Enrichment : Journal of Management and Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) would like to further extend congratulations to you.



John F,
Editor-In-Chief

Accredited by National Journal Accreditation (ARJUNA) managed by Menteri of Research, Technology, RI with Fourth Grade (SINTA 4) according to the decree No.148/M/KPT/2020





Enrichment : Journal of Management

journal homepage: www.enrichment.iocspublisher.org/index.php/enrichment

Review Report

This journal uses double-blind review process, which means that both the reviewer (s) and author (s) identities concealed from the reviewers, and vice versa, throughout the review process. All submitted manuscripts are reviewed by two reviewer one from Indonesia and rest two from overseas. The average marks of three reviewers of review report are given below.

Registration:

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Paper ID: | J-EJM-11010011 |
| Paper Title | Does the Leverage Ratio Mediate the Effect of Liquidity Ratios, Profitability Ratios, and Activity Ratios on Stock Prices? (Empirical Study of Food and Beverage Sub-Sector Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the Period of 2015-2019) |
| Author(s): | Rudolf Lumbantobing & Susanto Salim |

Evaluation: **Plagiarism=%**

1 = Very Poor | 2 = Poor | 3 = Good | 4 = Very Good | 5 = Outstanding | NA = Not Applicable

| S. No | Please rate the following | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
|-------|--|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| 01 | Relevance to the scope of the journal | ✓ | | | | | |
| 02 | Contribution to the respective field | ✓ | | | | | |
| 03 | Technical strength: explanation of used methodology | ✓ | | | | | |
| 04 | Plagiarism: evaluavated from antiplagiarism software | ✓ | | | | | |
| 05 | Intelligibility and significance of the paper title | ✓ | | | | | |
| 06 | Transparency and description of the abstract | ✓ | | | | | |
| 07 | Relevance of abstract with proposed approach/methodology | ✓ | | | | | |
| 08 | Litrature Survey and problem identification, if any | ✓ | | | | | |
| 09 | Feasibility study of the proposed approach/methodology | ✓ | | | | | |
| 10 | Relevance and clarity of drawings, graphs and tables | | | | | | |
| 11 | Explanation of drawings, graphs and tables | | | | | | |
| 12 | Clarity and appropriate explanation of equations | ✓ | | | | | |
| 13 | Result analysis and discussion | ✓ | | | | | |
| 14 | Comparative study with traditional work | ✓ | | | | | |
| 15 | Clarity and presentation of the paper | ✓ | | | | | |
| 16 | Conclusion of the paper | ✓ | | | | | |
| 17 | Reference list is adequate and correctly cited | ✓ | | | | | |
| 18 | Implication of the gramitical error and precision | | ✓ | | | | |

Continue...(1/2)





Outlook:

| Tick the appropriate option | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Is the paper or parts of it been plagiarized from elsewhere? | | ✓ |
| Is there any fraud in the paper? | | ✓ |
| Is the paper, as a whole, ethically acceptable? | ✓ | |
| Is paper within the scope? | ✓ | |
| Is paper written under the publication ethics and malpractice statement? | ✓ | |

Recommendation: Accepted

| Assessment | Strongly Accepted, no revision needed | Accepted, no revision needed | Accepted, but needs minor revision | Accepted, but needs major revision | Rejected, poor quality/out of scope | Rejected, ethical issues |
|---|---|------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Overall Status of Paper | | ✓ | | | | |
| The submitted manuscript is accepted with minor changes/no changes as per experts comments: | | | | | | |
| Reviewer-1 | Paper is good, covers all steps of proposed methodology and defined properly. | | | | | |
| Reviewer-2 | Manuscript is not yet English | | | | | |



John F,
Editor-In-Chief

